MOD00655P01235US PAT/R212/US PATENT

REMARKS

Claims 6-10 are pending. Claim 8 is allowed. Accordingly, claims 6-7 and 9-10 are at issue.

In the response to arguments in the most recent Office Action, the Examiner has indicated that Applicants' interpretation of the claim was understood, but that the Examiner did not have the same interpretation in that the "claim does not recite the orientation of the wavelengths in the sections, i.e., direction of propagation." Claim 6 has been amended to indicate that the first and second wavelengths have the same direction of propagations that are in series. This amendment clarifies the claims as suggested in the Office Action and it is believed the case is now in condition for allowance. It is not believed that this amendment raises any new issues because the amendment is simply providing language that will be viewed by the Examiner as consistent with Applicants' prior submitted arguments (see Amendment "B" submitted February 16, 2006).

In view of the foregoing, entry of the amendment, reconsideration of the rejections, and allowance of the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER

> Veffery N. Fairchild Reg. No. 37,825

August 16, 2006

500 West Madison Street Suite 3800 Chicago, IL 60661 (312) 876-1800